

Earn

3 CE credits

This course was written for dentists, dental hygienists, and assistants.

Dental Erosion: Etiology, Diagnosis and Prevention

A Peer-Reviewed Publication

Written by Yan-Fang Ren DDS, PhD, MPH

ADA CERP®

Continuing Education
Recognition Program

PennWell is an ADA CERP recognized provider

ADA CERP is a service of the American Dental Association to assist dental professionals in identifying quality providers of continuing dental education. ADA CERP does not approve or endorse individual courses or instructors, nor does it imply acceptance of credit hours by boards of dentistry.

Concerns or complaints about a CE provider may be directed to the provider or to ADA CERP at www.ada.org/goto/cecp.

PennWell designates this activity for 3 Continuing Education Credits.



Publication date: April 2011
Expiry date: March 2014

Go Green, Go Online to take your course

This course has been made possible through an unrestricted educational grant from Colgate-Palmolive Company. The cost of this CE course is \$59.00 for 3 CE credits.
Cancellation/Refund Policy: Any participant who is not 100% satisfied with this course can request a full refund by contacting PennWell in writing.

Educational Objectives

The overall goals of this article are to provide an overview of the causes, risk factors, diagnosis and prevention of dental erosion. On completion of this course the reader will be able to:

1. List and describe the prevalence of dental erosion
2. List and describe the etiologies of dental erosion
3. List and describe the signs and symptoms of dental erosion and the complicating factors associated with dental erosion
4. List and describe methods for the management and prevention of dental erosion.

Abstract

Dental erosion is a prevalent condition that occurs worldwide. It is the result of exposure of the enamel and dentin to nonbacterial acids of extrinsic and intrinsic origin, whereby mineral loss occurs from the surface of the tooth. The most frequently affected areas are the palatal surface of maxillary incisors and the occlusal surface of the mandibular first molars in adolescents. Characteristic early signs of dental erosion include smooth and flat facets on facial or palatal surfaces, and shallow and localized dimpling on occlusal surfaces. Early intervention is key to effectively preventing erosive tooth wear. Effective prevention of dental erosion includes measures that can avoid or reduce direct contact with acids, increase acid resistance of dental hard tissues and minimize toothbrushing abrasion.

Introduction

Dental erosion is the loss of dental hard tissue, associated with extrinsic and/or intrinsic acid that is not produced by bacteria. Though the chemical process of dental erosion is similar to that of caries, i.e., dissolution of hydroxyapatite by acids, the clinical manifestations and management of dental erosion are fundamentally different from caries because the erosive process does not involve acid of bacterial origin. Dental erosion does not begin as a subsurface enamel lesion that is conducive to remineralization, as in the caries process, but rather as a surface-softening lesion that is susceptible to wear and resistant to remineralization by conventional therapies. It is often widespread and may involve the entire dentition. Dental hard tissue loss associated with erosion is almost always complicated by other forms of tooth wear such as attrition and abrasion. Dental erosion results in tooth surface softening, which inevitably accelerates tissue loss caused by tooth-to-tooth contact while chewing and grinding (attrition) or by abrasive wear while mechanically brushing or cleaning tooth surfaces (abrasion). If dental erosion is not managed through effective interventions, it may result in substantial loss of enamel and subsequent exposure of the underlying dentin, which can, in turn, lead to dentin sensitivity, loss of vertical height and esthetic problems.

Effective management of dental erosion is largely dependent on a thorough understanding of its etiology and early recognition of its signs and symptoms in clinical practice.

Prevalence

Dental erosion is a common condition, and its prevalence seems to be trending higher in recent decades.¹ It is difficult to accurately assess the prevalence of dental erosion from published literature, for there is not a universally accepted standard for clinical evaluation of this condition. Dental erosion is almost always complicated by other forms of tooth wear. The reported prevalence of dental erosion varies greatly in the literature, which can be partially explained by age, country and different evaluation standards. The median prevalence of dental erosion is 34.1 percent of children (interquartile range 27.4) and 31.8 percent of adults (interquartile range 18.7). In studies that reported prevalence of dental erosion in different age groups, there is a clear trend of increasing prevalence with age in children and adults.²⁻⁶ Dental erosion has been considered a common condition limited to developed countries.¹

Etiology

Dental erosion is caused by sustained direct contact between tooth surfaces and acidic substances. It has long been recognized that demineralization of dental enamel will occur once the oral environmental pH reaches the critical threshold of 5.5.⁷ Acids in the mouth originate from three main sources: produced *in situ* by acidogenic bacteria, ingested extrinsic acids as dietary components and dislocated intrinsic acids through the backflow of gastric contents. Acids of bacterial origin cause caries, while extrinsic and intrinsic acids cause dental erosion. Clearance of acids from the oral cavity is, to a large extent, dependent on the saliva flow rate and the saliva buffering capacity. Low saliva flow rate and poor buffering capacity allow prolonged retention of extrinsic and intrinsic acids in the mouth, which will accelerate the erosive process.

Extrinsic acids

Acidic beverages

Soft drinks, including carbonated beverages, fruit juices and sport drinks, are almost exclusively acidic (pH<4.0) in nature in order to maintain a fresh and fizzy mouthfeel (carbonated beverages) and to prevent rapid growth of bacteria. Table 1 lists the pH ranges of common beverages on the consumer market. These beverages, when in contact with the tooth, will reduce the pH at the tooth surface to a level below the critical value of 5.5 for enamel demineralization.

The effects of these beverages on dental hard tissues have been extensively studied in recent years. Numerous experimental and clinical investigations have shown that dental erosion in the form of enamel and dentin tissue loss can be caused by carbonated soft drinks⁸⁻¹¹, fruit juices¹²⁻¹⁶, sport drinks¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and wines.²⁰⁻²² Erosion starts with enamel surface softening in the early stage, and enamel tissue loss develops progressively with continued erosive challenges. Softened enamel is susceptible to abrasive wear. Brushing after erosive challenges will accelerate enamel tissue loss.²³⁻²⁷

Table 1. pH values of common beverages²⁸⁻³⁰

Carbonated drinks	pH	Juice drinks	pH	Other drinks	pH
Coke	2.7	Orange juice	3.4	Iced tea	3.0
Pepsi	2.7	Grapefruit juice	3.2	Fanta orange	2.9
7-Up	3.2-3.5	Cranberry juice	2.3-2.5	Red Bull	3.4
Sprite	2.6	Apple juice	3.4	Gatorade	3.3
Mountain Dew	3.2	Pineapple juice	3.4	Isostar	2.4-3.8
Dr. Pepper	2.9	Kiwi juice	3.6	Coffee	2.4-3.3
Lemon Nestea	3.0	Grape juice	3.4	Tea (black)	4.2
Root beer	3.0-4.0	Carrot juice	4.2	Beer	4.0-5.0
Ginger ale	2.0-4.0	Beetroot juice	4.2	Wine	2.3-3.8

Acidic foods and dietary ingredients

Besides acidic drinks, many solid and semisolid foodstuffs are also acidic in nature. Table 2 lists common foods and dietary ingredients that have low pH values. Though the potential erosive effects of acidic foodstuffs are not well understood, it is believed that frequent ingestion of these types of foods may also contribute to dental erosion.

Individual eating habits may be the most important factor affecting the erosive potential of acidic foods. Frequent consumption of citrus fruits could significantly increase the risk for dental erosion.³¹ Persons with a diet with more fruits and acidic berries may also have higher frequencies of dental erosion.^{32,33}

Table 2. pH values of common foodstuffs²⁸⁻³⁰

Fruits	pH	Other foodstuffs	pH
Apples	2.9-3.5	Cranberry sauce	2.3
Apricots	3.2-3.6	Fruit jams/jellies	3.0-4.0
Blueberries	3.2-3.5	Italian salad dressing	3.3
Cherries	3.2-4.7	Ketchup	3.7
Grapes	3.3-4.5	Mayonnaise	3.8-4.0
Grapefruits	3.0-3.5	Mustard	3.6
Lemons/limes	1.8-2.4	Pickles	2.5-3.0
Oranges	2.8-4.0	Relish	3.0
Peaches	3.1-4.2	Rhubarb puree	2.8
Pears	3.4-4.7	Sauerkraut	3.1-3.7
Pineapples	3.3-4.1	Sour cream	4.4
Plums	2.8-4.6	Tomatoes	3.7-4.7
Raspberries	2.9-3.7	Fermented vegetables	3.9-5.1
Strawberries	3.0-4.2	Yogurt	3.8-4.2

Other sources of extrinsic acids

Acidic medications such as those containing vitamin C^{34,35} and aspirin^{36,37} may cause erosion when used in a manner resulting in sustained contact between tooth surfaces and the medication. Habitual use of mood-enhancing drugs such as ecstasy may also increase the risk for erosive tooth wear.^{38,39} Environmental and occupational factors may contribute to dental erosion in selected populations, including swimmers⁴⁰⁻⁴¹, workers in an environment with acidic industrial vapors⁴²⁻⁴⁴ and professional wine tasters^{45,46}.

Intrinsic acids

The source of intrinsic acids in the oral cavity is mostly from the backflow of the gastric contents through the esophageal tract. Gastric juice consists mainly of hydrochloric acid, produced by the parietal cells in the stomach. The presence of the highly acidic gastric juice (pH 1.0-3.0) in the oral cavity may lead to dental erosion. Gastro-esophageal reflux disease (GERD), bulimia and rumination are the main conditions associated with the backflow of gastric juice to the mouth.

Voluntary reflux of gastric contents (rumination) has been reported in special populations as a potential cause of dental erosion.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Though it is rare in occurrence, rumination should be considered as one of the potential etiological factors in patients with unknown causes of erosive tooth wear. Patients suffering from bulimia may ruminate multiple times daily over a prolonged period of time, which may cause typical dental hard tissue loss on the palatal aspect of the maxillary teeth.⁵⁰ The prevalence of dental erosion is higher in bulimic patients than in non-bulimic controls.^{50,51} Dental erosion in bulimic patients is most likely associated with oral retention of regurgitated gastric contents. The dietary habits of bulimic patients may include bingeing on high-energy foods and foods with high erosive potential, which may further exacerbate erosion.⁵¹

Saliva flow and buffering capacity

When acidic substances enter the mouth, salivary glands will reflectively increase secretion and saliva flow will accelerate to clear the acids from the oral cavity. Since human saliva contains bicarbonates and urea, it rapidly neutralizes the acidic remnants and returns the oral pH to normal – which is known as the buffering capacity of saliva, an important mechanism for oral pH regulation. Many factors affect saliva flow rate and buffering capacity, including autoimmune diseases (e.g., Sjögren's syndrome), medications (e.g., antidepressants and antipsychotics) and aging. When saliva flow rate is reduced, its clearance and buffering capacity will be negatively impacted, resulting in abnormal acid retention in the mouth, which, in turn, may contribute to dental erosion. Saliva flow rate and buffering capacity are therefore important etiological factors for erosion.^{52,53} Low saliva flow rate and poor buffering capacity are often found to be associated with the development of dental erosion.^{31,54-56}

Diagnosis

Accurate diagnosis of erosion and erosive tooth wear begins with an in-depth assessment of risk factors for erosion and of medical and dental histories. Visual inspection of tooth surfaces and wear patterns provides direct evidence of dental erosion. Since dental hard tissue loss associated with erosion is not reversible, and a severely worn dentition represents a great challenge to dentists and patients, it is imperative to recognize the risk factors early, preferably before any sign of erosive tooth wear is present, to facilitate early intervention.

Risk factor assessment

As described earlier, extrinsic and intrinsic acids are the predominant etiological factors for dental erosion. Therefore, erosion risk assessment mainly involves identification of these factors in a specific patient and an evaluation of their roles in the development of dental erosion.

Risk factors for dental erosion include:

- Frequent use of acidic dietary products, especially soft drinks, fruit juices and acidic foods
- GERD, rumination, regurgitation and frequent involuntary vomiting
- Prolonged use of chewable acidic medications, especially vitamin C and aspirin
- People in occupations involving hazards that include direct contact with acidic substances, e.g., wine makers and tasters, swimmers, and battery workers
- Sustained use of recreational drugs such as ecstasy
- Low saliva flow rate and inadequate saliva buffering capacity

Patients with any of the above factors are at risk of developing dental erosion. Though the current paradigm is for dental practitioners to look for these risk factors after they see signs of erosion and erosive wear, identification of these factors before the existence of any sign of erosion may be more important. Early intervention for the prevention of dental erosion is a more effective therapeutic strategy than any attempt to restore lost dental hard tissue due to erosion. A thorough evaluation of dietary habits will be helpful in assessing the erosive potential of acidic foodstuffs. Patients should record all their dietary activities in a diary over a 4-day period, including the weekend.⁵⁷ The time of day and quantity of all food and beverage intakes should be included in the diary. Careful review of medical history and consultation with a patient's primary care physician may help to identify erosion from intrinsic acids (e.g., GERD) and the presence of salivary hypofunction. A review of current medications and their ingestion methods is also helpful in finding drugs that cause low saliva flow and that may cause erosion if ingested inappropriately. Both stimulated and non-stimulated saliva flow rates can be assessed in dental offices by simply measuring the amount of saliva collected in a 5- or 10-minute period. Patients with a non-stimulated saliva flow rate of less than 0.12 ml/min may be considered as having low saliva flow.⁵⁸

Clinical evaluation

Though dental erosion often coexists with attrition and abrasion, it has some distinctive characteristics in location, appearance and morphology. The most frequently affected areas are the palatal surface of maxillary incisors and the occlusal surface of the mandibular first molars in adolescents.¹ Lussi et al described that erosion of facial surfaces was commonly seen on maxillary and mandibular canines and premolars, occlusal erosion was seen on maxillary and mandibular premolars and molars, and palatal erosion was seen on maxillary incisors and canines.⁵ Early signs of erosion often include smooth and flat facets on facial or palatal surfaces, and shallow and localized dimpling on occlusal surfaces (Figure 1). Without intervention, erosive wear will progress, leading to deep cupping lesions with exposed dentin and eventual loss of occlusal morphology (Figure 2).

Cervical and incisal grooves are typical erosive lesions in premolars, canines and incisors (Figure 3). Shallow defects with a broad base on facial surfaces above the cementum-enamel junction have been found to be associated with acidic dietary habits but not with abrasive diets.⁵⁹

Figure 1: Mandibular premolar and molars with signs of early-stage of erosion



Note the smooth and flat facets on non-occluding surfaces and small, localized dimpling on occlusal surfaces.

Figure 2: Mandibular molars with advanced erosive wear



Note the rounding of cusps, deep cupping lesions with exposed dentin and loss of typical occlusal surface morphology.

Figure 3: Mandibular incisor, canine and premolar with moderate to advanced erosive wear



Note the grooving, cupping and broad-base cervical lesions that are typical signs of erosive wear in incisal, occlusal and cervical areas of these teeth.

Numerous classification and index systems have been developed to better quantify the severity of dental erosion and to differentiate erosion from attrition or abrasion. None of these classification systems has been universally accepted, and their validity has been challenged.⁶⁰ Nonetheless, the erosive tooth wear index or classification system represents a benchmark that allows direct comparison between clinical data from different centers or from different time points and will continue to be used in clinical studies until a golden standard is established. The index systems developed by Smith and Knight,⁶¹ Eccles⁶² and Lussi⁶³ are among the frequently used evaluation methods in clinical studies and practices.


Prevention and management

If no effective intervention occurs at an early stage, the eventual outcome of dental erosion is severe loss of dental hard tissues that adversely affects function and esthetics. In patients with extensive dentin exposure, transient and persistent pain due to dentin sensitivity and pulp pathology may further reduce quality of life. Severe erosive tooth wear can be managed restoratively. Composite resins and ceramics can be used for partial and full coverage restorations to restore the esthetics and function of the teeth. However, if the restored teeth continue to be subjected to severe erosive challenges, the restorations may fail in due course following marginal deterioration and continued loss of surrounding dental hard tissues. Therefore, preventive measures for dental erosion are not only essential for early intervention and primary prevention of erosive tooth wear, but they are also important for secondary prevention of erosion around the restorations.

To better understand the effectiveness of common preventive measures for dental erosion, it is helpful to review the chemical process associated with erosion. Dental hard tissues are largely composed of mineral crystals of hydroxyapatite with the formula $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2$. Dental hydroxyapatite is often described as “calcium deficient” and “carbonated” because some calcium ions may be substituted by sodium, magnesium

and potassium, and some phosphates (PO_4) by carbonates (CO_3), which renders the minerals more susceptible to acid dissolution.⁶⁴ On the other hand, some hydroxyl groups (OH) can be replaced by fluoride ions (F^-) to form fluoro-hydroxyapatite, $\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{F},\text{OH})_2$, which has increased crystalline stability and decreased susceptibility to acid dissolution during acidic challenges, as compared to hydroxyapatite.⁷

Acid dissolution of dental hard tissues can be expressed in the following equation:



From our knowledge, we know that hydroxyapatite is less likely to dissolve under the following conditions:

1. There is no direct contact with acid (no supply of H^+).
2. Hydroxyapatite is replaced with fluoro-hydroxyapatite.
3. The environment is saturated with calcium and phosphates (oversupply of Ca^{+2} and PO_4).

Therefore, effective strategies for prevention of dental erosion may be formulated correspondingly as follows:

1. Avoid or reduce direct contact with acids through behavioral and clinical interventions.
2. Increase acid resistance of dental hard tissues through fluoride therapy.
3. Increase resistance to hydroxyapatite dissolution through the provision of calcium and phosphates.

In addition, there is adequate evidence to conclude that toothbrushing abrasion can potentially be a major contributing factor to erosive tooth wear.^{25,65,66} Dental hard tissue loss associated with erosion can be viewed as a process of initial chemical softening followed by physical removal of the softened tissue. A fourth strategy therefore includes reducing mechanical abrasion of teeth through proper toothbrushing instructions.

Strategy #1: Avoid or reduce direct contact with acids

Behavioral interventions:

1. Reduce frequency of dietary intake of acidic beverages and foods: Frequency and duration of direct contact between teeth and acids are important factors for the development of erosive lesions.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ Prolonged sipping of acidic drinks will increase the risk of erosion, while gulping will minimize the risk.
2. Adopt drinks habits that limit contact time with teeth: Using a straw will reduce contact time between teeth and acidic drinks. Rinsing with water or drinking milk immediately following the drinking of acidic beverages will accelerate the clearance of acids and help return the oral pH to neutral.
3. Avoid misuse of acidic medications, including vitamin C: Chewing this type of medication or using such pills as lozenges increases risk for dental erosion. Acidic medications should be swallowed, if possible.
4. Use proper protection to avoid occupational hazards: Masks, mouth guards and neutralizing agents should be used to reduce contact with acidic vapors and fluids.

Clinical interventions:

1. Apply fluoride varnish to tooth surfaces susceptible to erosion: A protective film containing fluoride will reduce direct contact between tooth surfaces and acids and deliver fluoride to strengthen the enamel surfaces.
2. Treat underlying diseases associated with the presence of intrinsic acids intraorally: This includes GERD, bulimia, regurgitation and rumination. It is often necessary to establish close consultation with the patient's physicians when an intrinsic cause of erosion is suspected.
3. Treat conditions causing salivary hypofunction: When low saliva flow rate is established as a factor for erosion in a specific patient, measures should be taken to improve saliva flow, where possible. This may include consultation with the patient's physicians on adjustment of medications causing dry mouth, and referrals for evaluation and treatment of autoimmune diseases such as Sjögren's syndrome.

Strategy #2: Increase acid resistance through fluoride therapy

It has been shown that fluoride could minimize the erosive effects of soft drinks when applied as a varnish⁷⁰⁻⁷² a mouthwash⁷³ a topical gel⁷⁴⁻⁷⁵ or a dentifrice⁷⁶⁻⁷⁷. A dose-response effect has been observed when using fluoride dentifrices for treatment of enamel erosion in an *in situ* study.⁷⁷ Enamel samples treated by dentifrices with higher fluoride concentrations was significantly more resistant to erosive challenges than were those with lower fluoride concentrations. Frequent application of high concentrations of fluoride has been considered the regimen of choice for the prevention and treatment of dental erosion.⁷⁸ Recent laboratory and clinical studies have shown that toothpaste containing 5000 ppm fluoride was significantly more effective than one containing 1450 ppm fluoride in reducing enamel loss caused by orange juice.^{79,80} Patients with risk factors for dental erosion should benefit from the application of 5000 ppm fluoride twice daily.

Strategy #3: Increase resistance to acid dissolution using calcium and phosphate

The addition of calcium and phosphate to acidic beverages could significantly reduce their erosive potential.⁸¹⁻⁸⁴ It was shown that the addition of 40 mmol/l calcium and 30mmol/l phosphate could significantly diminish the erosive potential of orange juice.⁸⁵ Supplementation of soft drinks with calcium was more effective in reducing erosion than with phosphate and fluoride.⁸⁶ The addition of 0.5-1.5mmol/l calcium has been found to be effective in reducing the erosive potential of citric acid. Some *in vitro* and *in situ* studies have shown that toothpastes containing casein/calcium phosphate were useful in protecting enamel against erosive challenges⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹ while another study did not find a protective effect⁹⁰.

Strategy #4: Minimize toothbrushing abrasion of eroded enamel

It has been shown that the timing of brushing, toothbrush bristle stiffness and abrasivity of toothpastes can all affect erosive-abrasive tooth loss.^{24,25,65} For patients at risk of dental erosion, toothpastes with low abrasivity should be used with a soft toothbrush. Toothbrushing should be performed before an erosive challenge and avoided after consumption of erosive drinks or an erosive episode such as vomiting. If toothbrushing needs to be done after erosive challenges, the waiting period should be as long as possible. Table 3 summarizes the above strategies as concise recommendations to patients at risk of dental erosion.

Table 3: Recommendations for prevention of dental erosion

Avoid or reduce frequent intake of acidic beverages, and use a straw when drinking to minimize acid contact with tooth surfaces.

Select beverages containing calcium, phosphate or fluoride, and rinse with water or drink milk after an acid exposure in order to lessen erosive attacks.

Use dentifrices with a high fluoride concentration to strengthen enamel surfaces.

Avoid toothbrushing immediately after an acid exposure and wait for at least 30 minutes to allow tooth surface recovery from acid attacks.

Have a dental visit for application of fluoride varnishes and treatment of salivary hypofunction.

Conclusions

Dental erosion is a common condition in children and adults in all regions of the world. Prolonged contact between extrinsic or intrinsic acids with tooth surfaces will result in softening and dissolution of surface minerals. If not recognized and treated early, erosive challenges may cause severe loss of dental hard tissues that adversely affects esthetics and function of the mouth. Early intervention is key to effective prevention of erosive tooth wear. Effective prevention of dental erosion includes measures that can avoid or reduce direct contact with acids, increase acid resistance of dental hard tissues and minimize toothbrushing abrasion.

References

1. Jaeggi T, Lussi A. Prevalence, incidence and distribution of erosion. *Monographs in Oral Sci.* 2006;20:44-65.
2. Dugmore CR, Rock WP. The progression of tooth erosion in a cohort of adolescents of mixed ethnicity. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2003 Sep;13(5):295-303.
3. El Aidi H, Bronkhorst EM, Truin GJ. A longitudinal study of tooth erosion in adolescents. *J Dent Res.* 2008 Aug;87(8):731-5.
4. Lussi A, Schaffner M. Progression of and risk factors for dental erosion and wedge-shaped defects over a 6-year period. *Caries Res.* 2000 Mar-Apr;34(2):182-7.
5. Lussi A, Schaffner M, Hotz P, Suter P. Dental erosion in a population of Swiss adults. *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1991 Oct;19(5):286-90.
6. van Rijkom HM, Truin GJ, Frencken JEFM, Konig KG, van 't Hof MA, Bronkhorst EM, et al. Prevalence, distribution and background variables of smooth-bordered tooth wear in teenagers in The Hague, The Netherlands. *Caries Res.* 2002 Mar-Apr;36(2):147-54.
7. Hicks J, Garcia-Godoy F, Flaitz C. Biological factors in dental caries enamel structure and the caries process in the dynamic process of demineralization and remineralization (part 2). *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2005;29(2):119-24.
8. Kitchens M, Owens BM. Effect of carbonated beverages, coffee,

- sports and high energy drinks, and bottled water on the in vitro erosion characteristics of dental enamel. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2007;31(3):153-9.
9. Devlin H, Bassiouny MA, Boston D. Hardness of enamel exposed to Coca-Cola and artificial saliva. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2006 Jan;33(1):26-30.
 10. Moazzez R, Smith BG, Bartlett DW. Oral pH and drinking habit during ingestion of a carbonated drink in a group of adolescents with dental erosion. *J Dent.* 2000 Aug;28(6):395-7.
 11. Maupome G, Diez-de-Bonilla J, Torres-Villasenor G, Andrade-Delgado LC, Castano VM. In vitro quantitative assessment of enamel microhardness after exposure to eroding immersion in a cola drink. *Caries Res.* 1998;32(2):148-53.
 12. Ren Y-F, Amin A, Malmstrom H. Effects of tooth whitening and orange juice on surface properties of dental enamel. *J Dent.* 2009 Jun;37(6):424-31.
 13. Zandim DL, Correa FOB, Rossa Junior C, Sampaio JEC. In vitro evaluation of the effect of natural orange juices on dentin morphology. *Braz Oral Res.* 2008 Apr-Jun;22(2):176-83.
 14. Willershausen B, Callaway A, Azrak B, Duschner H. Influence of apple juice on human enamel surfaces of the first and second dentition - an in vitro study. *Eur J Med Res.* 2008 Jul 28;13(7):349-54.
 15. West NX, Maxwell A, Hughes JA, Parker DM, Newcombe RG, Addy M. A method to measure clinical erosion: the effect of orange juice consumption on erosion of enamel. *J Dent.* 1998 May;26(4):329-35.
 16. Lussi A, Jaeggi T, Jaeggi-Scharer S. Prediction of the erosive potential of some beverages. *Caries Res.* 1995;29(5):349-54.
 17. Coombes JS. Sports drinks and dental erosion. *Am J Dent.* 2005 Apr;18(2):101-4.
 18. Milosevic A. Sports drinks hazard to teeth. *Brit J Sports Med.* 1997 Mar;31(1):28-30.
 19. Rees J, Loyn T, McAndrew R. The acidic and erosive potential of five sports drinks. *Eur J Pros Restor Dent.* 2005 Dec;13(4):186-90.
 20. Chehal HK, Pate DH, Cohen DM, Bhattacharyya I. Dental erosion due to excessive wine consumption. *Gen Dent.* 2009 Sep-Oct;57(5):519-23.
 21. Mandel L. Dental erosion due to wine consumption. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2005 Jan;136(1):71-5.
 22. Rees J, Hughes J, Innes C. An in vitro assessment of the erosive potential of some white wines. *Eur J Pros Restor Dent.* 2002 Mar;10(1):37-42.
 23. Yu H, Wegehaupt FJ, Wiegand A, Roos M, Attin T, Buchalla W. Erosion and abrasion of tooth-colored restorative materials and human enamel. *J Dent.* 2009 Dec;37(12):913-22.
 24. Wiegand A, Egert S, Attin T. Toothbrushing before or after an acidic challenge to minimize tooth wear? An in situ/ex vivo study. *Am J Dent.* 2008 Feb;21(1):13-6.
 25. Ganss C, Schlueter N, Friedrich D, Klimek J. Efficacy of waiting periods and topical fluoride treatment on toothbrush abrasion of eroded enamel in situ. *Caries Res.* 2007;41(2):146-51.
 26. Correr GM, Alonso RCB, Consani S, Puppini-Rontani RM, Ferracane JL. In vitro wear of primary and permanent enamel. Simultaneous erosion and abrasion. *Am J Dent.* 2007 Dec;20(6):394-9.
 27. Vieira A, Overweg E, Ruben JF, Huysmans MCDNJM. Toothbrush abrasion, simulated tongue friction and attrition of eroded bovine enamel in vitro. *J Dent.* 2006 May;34(5):336-42.
 28. Clark DC, Woo G, Silver JG, Sweet D, Grisdale JC. The influence of frequent ingestion of acids in the diet on treatment for dentin sensitivity. *J Can Dent Assoc.* 1990 Dec;56(12):1101-3.
 29. Jain P, Nihill P, Sobkowski J, Agustin MZ. Commercial soft drinks: pH and in vitro dissolution of enamel. *Gen Dent.* 2007 2007 Mar-Apr;55(2):150-4; quiz 5.
 30. Lussi A, Jaeggi T. Chemical factors. *Monographs Oral Sci.* 2006;20:77-87.
 31. Jarvinen VK, Rytomaa II, Heinson OP. Risk factors in dental erosion. *J Dent Res.* 1991 Jun;70(6):942-7.
 32. O'Sullivan EA, Curzon ME. Dental erosion associated with the use of 'alcopop' - a case report. *Brit Dent J.* 1998 Jun 27;184(12):594-6.
 33. Linkosalo E, Markkanen H. Dental erosions in relation to lactovegetarian diet. *Scand J Dent Res.* 1985 Oct;93(5):436-41.
 34. Giunta JL. Dental erosion resulting from chewable vitamin C tablets. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1983 Aug;107(2):253-6.
 35. Hays GL, Bullock Q, Lazzani EP, Puente ES. Salivary pH while dissolving vitamin C-containing tablets. *Am J Dent.* 1992 Oct;5(5):269-71.
 36. McCracken M, O'Neal SJ. Dental erosion and aspirin headache powders: a clinical report. *J Prosthodont.* 2000 Jun;9(2):95-8.
 37. Grace EG, Sarlani E, Kaplan S. Tooth erosion caused by chewing aspirin. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2004 Jul;135(7):911-4.
 38. Brand HS, Dun SN, Nieuw Amerongen AV. Ecstasy (MDMA) and oral health. *Brit Dent J.* 2008 Jan 26;204(2):77-81.
 39. Milosevic A, Agrawal N, Redfern P, Mair L. The occurrence of toothwear in users of ecstasy (3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine). *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1999 Aug;27(4):283-7.
 40. Dawes C, Boroditsky CL. Rapid and severe tooth erosion from swimming in an improperly chlorinated pool: case report. *J Can Dent Assoc.* 2008 May;74(4):359-61.
 41. Geurtsen W. Rapid general dental erosion by gas-chlorinated swimming pool water. Review of the literature and case report. *Am J of Dent.* 2000 Dec;13(6):291-3.
 42. Amin WM, Al-Omouh SA, Hattab FN. Oral health status of workers exposed to acid fumes in phosphate and battery industries in Jordan. *Int Dent J.* 2001 Jun;51(3):169-74.
 43. Johansson A-K, Johansson A, Stan V, Ohlson C-G. Silicone sealers, acetic acid vapours and dental erosion: a work-related risk? *Sued Dent J.* 2005;29(2):61-9.
 44. Kim H-D, Hong Y-C, Koh D-H, Paik D-I. Occupational exposure to acidic chemicals and occupational dental erosion. *J Pub Health Dent.* 2006;66(3):205-8.
 45. Chikte UME, Naidoo S, Kolze TJvW, Grobler SR. Patterns of tooth surface loss among winemakers. *SADJ* 2005 Oct;60(9):370-4.
 46. Piekarz C, Ranjitkar S, Hunt D, McIntyre J. An in vitro assessment of the role of Tooth Mousse in preventing wine erosion. *Austral Dent J.* 2008 Mar;53(1):22-5.
 47. Gilmour AG, Beckett HA. The voluntary reflux phenomenon. *Brit Dent J.* 1993 Nov 20;175(10):368-72.
 48. Meshramkar R, Patil SB, Patil NP. A case report of patient practising yoga leading to dental erosion. *Int Dent J.* 2007 Jun;57(3):184-6.
 49. Scheutzel P. Etiology of dental erosion - intrinsic factors. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 1996 Apr;104(2 Pt 2):178-90.
 50. Jones RR, Cleaton-Jones P. Depth and area of dental erosions, and dental caries, in bulimic women. *J Dent Res.* 1989 Aug;68(8):1275-8.
 51. Rytomaa I, Jarvinen V, Kanerva R, Heinson OP. Bulimia and tooth erosion. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 1998 Feb;56(1):36-40.
 52. Piangprach T, Hengtrakool C, Kukiattrakoon B, Kedjarune-Leggat U. The effect of salivary factors on dental erosion in various age groups and tooth surfaces. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2009 Sep;140(9):1137-43.
 53. Brand HS, Tjoe Fat GM, Veerman ECI. The effects of saliva on the erosive potential of three different wines. *Austral Dent J.* 2009 Sep;54(3):228-32.
 54. Bevenius J, L'Estrange P. Chairside evaluation of salivary parameters in patients with tooth surface loss: a pilot study. *Austral Dent J.* 1990 Jun;35(3):219-21.
 55. Sanchez GA, Fernandez De Preliasco MV. Salivary pH changes during soft drinks consumption in children. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2003 Jul;13(4):251-7.
 56. O'Sullivan EA, Curzon ME. Salivary factors affecting dental erosion in children. *Caries Res.* 2000 Jan-Feb;34(1):82-7.
 57. Lussi A, Hellwig E. Risk assessment and preventive measures. *Monographs Oral Sci.* 2006;20:190-9.
 58. Navazesh M, Christensen C, Brightman V. Clinical criteria for the diagnosis of salivary gland hypofunction. *J Dent Res.* 1992 July 1, 1992;71(7):1363-9.
 59. Ganss C, Klimek J, Borkowski N. Characteristics of tooth wear in relation to different nutritional patterns including contemporary and medieval subjects. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2002 Feb;110(1):54-60.
 60. Ganss C. How valid are current diagnostic criteria for dental erosion? *Clin Oral Investigat.* 2008 Mar;12 Suppl 1:S41-9.
 61. Smith BG, Knight JK. An index for measuring the wear of teeth. *Brit Dent J.* 1984 Jun 23;156(12):435-8.
 62. Eccles JD. Dental erosion of nonindustrial origin. A clinical survey and classification. *J Pros Dent.* 1979 Dec;42(6):649-53.
 63. Lussi A. Dental erosion clinical diagnosis and case history taking. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 1996 Apr;104(2 Pt 2):191-8.
 64. Featherstone JDB, Lussi A. Understanding the chemistry of dental erosion. *Monographs Oral Sci.* 2006;20:66-76.
 65. Wiegand A, Schwerzmann M, Sener B, Magalhaes AC, Roos M, Ziebolz D, et al. Impact of toothpaste slurry abrasivity and toothbrush filament stiffness on abrasion of eroded enamel - an in vitro study. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2008 Aug;66(4):231-5.
 66. Jaeggi T, Lussi A. Toothbrush abrasion of erosively altered enamel after intraoral exposure to saliva: an in situ study. *Caries Res.* 1999 Nov-Dec;33(6):455-61.
 67. Al-Dlaigan YH, Shaw L, Smith A. Dental erosion in a group of British 14-year-old school children. Part II: Influence of dietary intake. *Brit Dent J.* 2001 Mar 10;190(5):258-61.
 68. Eisenburger M, Addy M. Erosion and attrition of human enamel in vitro part II: influence of time and loading. *J Dent.* 2002 Sep-Nov;30(7-8):349-52.
 69. West NX, Hughes JA, Addy M. Erosion of dentine and enamel in vitro by dietary acids: the effect of temperature, acid character, concentration and exposure time. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2000 Oct;27(10):875-80.
 70. Murakami C, Bonecker M, Correa MSNP, Mendes FM, Rodrigues CRMD. Effect of fluoride varnish and gel on dental erosion in primary and permanent teeth. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2009 Nov;54(11):997-1001.
 71. Sorvari R, Meurman JH, Alakujala P, Frank RM. Effect of fluoride varnish and solution on enamel erosion in vitro. *Caries Res.* 1994;28(4):227-32.
 72. Vieira A, Ruben JL, Huysmans MCDNJM. Effect of titanium tetrafluoride, amine fluoride and fluoride varnish on enamel erosion in vitro. *Caries Res.* 2005 Sep-Oct;39(5):371-9.
 73. Schlueter N, Klimek J, Ganss C. In vitro efficacy of experimental tin- and fluoride-containing mouth rinses as anti-erosive agents in enamel. *J Dent.* 2009 Dec;37(12):944-8.
 74. Lagerweij MD, Buchalla W, Kohnke S, Becker K, Lennon AM, Attin T. Prevention of erosion and abrasion by a high fluoride concentration gel applied at high frequencies. *Caries Res.* 2006;40(2):148-53.
 75. Jones L, Lekkas D, Hunt D, McIntyre J, Rafir W. Studies on dental erosion: An in vivo-in vitro model of endogenous dental erosion - its application to testing protection by fluoride gel application. *Austral Dent J.* 2002 Dec;47(4):304-8.

76. Barlow AP, Sufi F, Mason SC. Evaluation of different fluoridated dentifrice formulations using an in situ erosion remineralization model. *J Clin Dent*. 2009;20(6):192-8.
77. Zero DT, Hara AT, Kelly SA, Gonzalez-Cabezas C, Eckert GJ, Barlow AP, et al. Evaluation of a desensitizing test dentifrice using an in situ erosion remineralization model. *J Clin Dent*. 2006;17(4):112-6.
78. Wiegand A, Attin T. Influence of fluoride on the prevention of erosive lesions - a review. *Oral Health Prev Dent*. 2003;1(4):245-53.
79. Ren Y-F, Fadel N, Liu X, Malmstrom H. Prevention of dental erosion by 5000 ppm fluoride treatment in situ. *J Dent Res*. 2010;89(Special Issue B):#2596.
80. Ren Y-F, Zhao Q, Malmstrom H, Barnes V, Xu T. Assessing fluoride treatment and resistance of dental enamel to soft drink erosion in vitro: applications of focus variation 3D scanning microscopy and stylus profilometry. *J Dent*. 2009 Mar;37(3):167-76.
81. Larsen MJ. Degrees of saturation with respect to apatites in fruit juices and acidic drinks. *Scand J Dent Res*. 1975 Jan;83(1):13-7.
82. Grenby TH. Lessening dental erosive potential by product modification. *European J Oral Sci*. 1996 Apr;104(2 (Pt 2)):221-8.
83. Ramalingam L, Messer LB, Reynolds EG. Adding casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate to sports drinks to eliminate in vitro erosion. *Pediatr Dent*. 2005 Jan-Feb;27(1):61-7.
84. Magalhaes AC, Moraes SM, Rios D, Buzalaf MAR. Effect of ion supplementation of a commercial soft drink on tooth enamel erosion. *Food Additives & Contaminants* 2009 Feb;Part A, Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure & Risk Assessment. 26(2):152-6.
85. Larsen MJ, Jensen AF, Madsen DM, Pearce EIF. Individual variations of pH, buffer capacity, and concentrations of calcium and phosphate in unstimulated whole saliva. *Arch Oral Biol*. 1999;44(2):111-7.
86. Attin T, Meyer K, Hellwig E, Buchalla W, Lennon AM. Effect of mineral supplements to citric acid on enamel erosion. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2003 Nov;48(11):753-9.
87. Ranjitar S, Kaidonis JA, Richards LC, Townsend GC. The effect of CPP-ACP on enamel wear under severe erosive conditions. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2009 Jun;54(6):527-32.
88. Panich M, Poolthong S. The effect of casein phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate and a cola soft drink on in vitro enamel hardness. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2009 Apr;140(4):455-60.
89. Srinivasan N, Kavitha M, Loganathan SC. Comparison of the remineralization potential of CPP-ACP and CPP-ACP with 900 ppm fluoride on eroded human enamel: An in situ study. *Arch Oral Biol*. 2010 Jun 1. [Epub ahead of print]
90. Lennon AM, Pfeffer M, Buchalla W, Becker K, Lennon S, Attin T. Effect of a casein/calcium phosphate-containing tooth cream and fluoride on enamel erosion in vitro. *Caries Res*. 2006;40(2):154-7.

Author Profile

Yan-Fang Ren DDS, PhD, MPH

Dr. Ren is an Associate Professor in the Division of General Dentistry at the University of Rochester Eastman Institute for Oral Health. Dr. Ren can be reached at Yanfang_ren@urmc.rochester.edu.

Disclaimer

Dr. Ren is a consultant for the sponsors of this course.

Reader Feedback

We encourage your comments on this or any PennWell course. For your convenience, an online feedback form is available at www.ineedce.com.

Online Completion

Use this page to review the questions and answers. Return to www.ineedce.com and sign in. If you have not previously purchased the program select it from the "Online Courses" listing and complete the online purchase. Once purchased the exam will be added to your Archives page where a Take Exam link will be provided. Click on the "Take Exam" link, complete all the program questions and submit your answers. An immediate grade report will be provided and upon receiving a passing grade your "Verification Form" will be provided immediately for viewing and/or printing. Verification Forms can be viewed and/or printed anytime in the future by returning to the site, sign in and return to your Archives Page.

Questions

- Dental erosion begins as a _____.
 - subsurface enamel lesion
 - surface-hardened lesion
 - surface-softening lesion
 - none of the above
- Dental erosion results in a lesion that is _____.
 - susceptible to wear
 - susceptible to remineralization
 - resistant to remineralization
 - a and c
- Tissue loss is accelerated by _____ at sites where dental erosion has occurred.
 - attrition
 - abrasive wear
 - bond failure
 - a and b
- Effective management of dental erosion is largely dependent on _____.
 - a thorough understanding of its etiology
 - recognition of its signs in clinical practice
 - recognition of its symptoms in clinical practice
 - all of the above
- The prevalence of dental erosion seems to be trending _____ in recent decades.
 - lower
 - higher
 - negligibly
 - none of the above
- The variability in the reported prevalence of dental erosion can be partially explained by _____.
 - age
 - country
 - different evaluation standards
 - all of the above
- The median prevalence of dental erosion is _____ of children and _____ of adults.
 - 24.1%; 29.8%
 - 28.1%; 30.4%
 - 34.1%; 31.8%
 - 38.1%; 33.4%
- Dental erosion is caused by _____ direct contact between tooth surfaces and acidic substances.
 - occasional
 - continual
 - sustained
 - any of the above
- Demineralization of dental enamel will occur once the oral environmental pH reaches the critical threshold of _____.
 - 5.5
 - 4.5
 - 4.0
 - 3.5
- Acids in the mouth originate from _____.
 - acidogenic bacteria
 - extrinsic acids
 - intrinsic acids
 - all of the above
- Extrinsic and intrinsic acids cause _____.
 - dental erosion
 - caries
 - abrasion
 - a and b
- _____ is related to the clearance of acids in the oral cavity.
 - Saliva flow rate
 - Creatinine
 - Saliva buffering capacity
 - a and c
- Dental erosion can be caused by _____.
 - carbonated soft drinks
 - wines
 - fruit juices
 - all of the above
- Brushing after erosive challenges _____.
 - inhibits enamel tissue loss by removing acids
 - inhibits dentin tissue loss by creating a uniform smear layer
 - accelerates enamel tissue loss
 - is essential to remove plaque
- _____ has a pH of 4.2.
 - Black tea
 - Beetroot juice
 - Carrot juice
 - all of the above
- _____ are acidic in nature.
 - Many drinks
 - Many solid foods
 - Many semi-solid foods
 - all of the above
- _____ is a source of extrinsic acid that may cause dental erosion.
 - Medications with vitamin C
 - Aspirin
 - Mood-enhancing drugs
 - all of the above
- _____ is an occupation that may lead to dental erosion.
 - Professional wine tasting
 - Swimming
 - Music
 - a and b

Questions

19. Gastric juice consists mainly of _____, produced by the _____ in the stomach.
- acetic acid; parietal cells
 - hydrochloric acid; parietal cells
 - acetic acid; cells of Langerhans
 - hydrochloric acid; cells of Langerhans
20. Gastric juice has a pH of _____ and may lead to dental erosion.
- 1.0 – 2.0
 - 1.0 – 3.0
 - 2.0 – 3.0
 - 2.0 – 4.0
21. _____ is one of the main conditions associated with gastric backflow.
- Gastro-esophageal reflux disease
 - Bulimia
 - Rumination
 - all of the above
22. Rumination _____.
- is rare in occurrence
 - is the voluntary reflux of gastric contents
 - should be considered as one of the potential etiological factors in patients with unknown causes of erosive tooth wear
 - all of the above
23. In bulimic patients, _____.
- dietary habits may include binging on high-energy foods
 - dietary habits may include binging on foods with high erosive potential
 - dental erosion is most likely associated with oral retention of regurgitated gastric contents
 - all of the above
24. Human saliva rapidly neutralizes the acidic remnants and returns the oral pH to normal because it contains _____.
- nitrate
 - urea
 - bicarbonates
 - b and c
25. _____ affects saliva flow rate.
- Sjögren's syndrome
 - Medication use
 - Aging
 - all of the above
26. Visual inspection of tooth surfaces and wear patterns provides _____ evidence of dental erosion.
- direct
 - indirect
 - little
 - a and b
27. _____ is a risk factor for dental erosion.
- A high load of cariogenic bacteria
 - Frequent use of acidic dietary products
 - A high load of periodontal bacteria
 - a and b
28. Early intervention for the prevention of dental erosion is a _____ any attempt to restore lost dental hard tissue due to erosion.
- more effective therapeutic strategy than
 - less effective therapeutic strategy than
 - minimally effective therapeutic strategy compared to
 - none of the above
29. Stimulated and non-stimulated saliva flow rates can be assessed in dental offices by simply measuring the amount of saliva collected in a _____.
- 5- or 10-minute period
 - 10- or 15-minute period
 - 15- or 20-minute period
 - none of the above
30. The most frequently affected area for dental erosion is the _____.
- palatal surface of maxillary incisors
 - occlusal surface of the mandibular first molars in adolescents
 - lingual surface of the mandibular incisors
 - a and b
31. _____ described that erosion of facial surfaces was commonly seen on maxillary and mandibular canines and premolars.
- Bussi et al
 - Lussi et al
 - Degugni et al
 - Youssi et al
32. _____ is an early sign of dental erosion.
- Smooth and flat facets on facial surfaces
 - Smooth and flat facets on palatal surfaces
 - Shallow and localized dimpling on occlusal surfaces
 - all of the above
33. Progressive erosive wear leads to _____.
- deep cupping lesions
 - exposed dentin
 - loss of occlusal morphology
 - all of the above
34. Shallow defects with a broad base on facial surfaces above the cementum-enamel junction have been found to be associated with _____.
- alkaline dietary habits
 - acidic dietary habits
 - abrasive diets
 - b and c
35. _____ classification systems for dental erosion have been universally accepted.
- Several
 - No
 - Many
 - Two
36. _____ can result from erosive wear.
- Transient pain due to dentin sensitivity
 - Persistent pain due to dentin sensitivity
 - Pulpal pathology
 - all of the above
37. Severe erosive tooth wear can be managed with _____.
- composite resins and ceramics
 - gold alloys
 - sealants
 - all of the above
38. Preventive measures for dental erosion are essential for _____.
- early intervention
 - primary prevention of erosive tooth wear
 - secondary prevention of erosion around restorations
 - all of the above
39. Hydroxyapatite is less likely to dissolve if _____.
- there is no direct contact with acid
 - the environment is saturated with calcium and phosphates
 - it is replaced with fluoro-hydroxyapatite
 - all of the above
40. An example of behavioral intervention for dental erosion is _____.
- avoiding acidic foods
 - avoiding acidic drinks
 - not brushing immediately following intake of acidic foods and drinks
 - all of the above
41. _____ should be used to reduce contact with acidic vapors and fluids.
- Masks, mouth guards and gloves
 - Masks, mouth guards and neutralizing agents
 - Masks, glasses and mouth guards
 - none of the above
42. Applying fluoride varnish will result in _____.
- a protective film containing fluoride at the tooth surface
 - strengthening of the enamel surfaces
 - a durable resin barrier
 - a and b
43. When low saliva flow rate is known to be a factor for erosion in a specific patient, it may be possible to _____.
- work around this
 - accept the status quo
 - consult with the patient's physicians on medication adjustments if these are responsible for the low saliva flow
 - all of the above
44. Fluoride could minimize the erosive effects of soft drinks when applied as a _____.
- varnish
 - mouthwash
 - topical gel or dentifrice
 - all of the above
45. Enamel treated by dentifrices with higher fluoride concentrations has been found to be _____ to erosive challenges than when treated with lower fluoride concentrations.
- significantly more resistant
 - significantly less resistant
 - significantly softer
 - all of the above
46. Patients with risk factors for dental erosion should benefit from the application of _____ twice daily.
- 5000 ppm fluoride
 - 10000 ppm fluoride
 - chlorhexidine
 - all of the above
47. Supplementation of soft drinks with _____ has been found to be more effective in reducing erosion than with _____.
- phosphate; nitrate and fluoride
 - phosphate; calcium and fluoride
 - calcium; phosphate and fluoride
 - calcium; nitrate and fluoride
48. In vitro and in situ studies have shown that toothpastes containing _____ were useful in protecting enamel against erosive challenges.
- tricalcium phosphate
 - casein/calcium phosphate
 - casein/calcium nitrate
 - all of the above
49. The _____ can affect erosive-abrasive tooth loss.
- timing of brushing
 - toothbrush bristle stiffness
 - abrasivity of toothpastes
 - all of the above
50. Effective prevention of dental erosion includes measures that can _____.
- avoid or reduce direct contact with acids
 - increase acid resistance of dental hard tissues
 - minimize toothbrushing abrasion
 - all of the above

Dental Erosion: Etiology, Diagnosis and Prevention

Name: _____ Title: _____ Specialty: _____
 Address: _____ E-mail: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ ZIP: _____ Country: _____
 Telephone: Home () _____ Office () _____ Lic. Renewal Date: _____

Requirements for successful completion of the course and to obtain dental continuing education credits: 1) Read the entire course. 2) Complete all information above. 3) Complete answer sheets in either pen or pencil. 4) Mark only one answer for each question. 5) A score of 70% on this test will earn you 3 CE credits. 6) Complete the Course Evaluation below. 7) Make check payable to PennWell Corp. **For Questions Call 216.398.7822**

Educational Objectives

- List and describe the prevalence of dental erosion
- List and describe the etiologies of dental erosion
- List and describe the signs and symptoms of dental erosion and the complicating factors associated with dental erosion
- List and describe methods for the management and prevention of dental erosion.

Course Evaluation

Please evaluate this course by responding to the following statements, using a scale of Excellent = 5 to Poor = 0.

1. Were the individual course objectives met?	Objective #1: Yes	No	Objective #3: Yes	No		
	Objective #2: Yes	No	Objective #4: Yes	No		
2. To what extent were the course objectives accomplished overall?	5	4	3	2	1	0
3. Please rate your personal mastery of the course objectives.	5	4	3	2	1	0
4. How would you rate the objectives and educational methods?	5	4	3	2	1	0
5. How do you rate the author's grasp of the topic?	5	4	3	2	1	0
6. Please rate the instructor's effectiveness.	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Was the overall administration of the course effective?	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Do you feel that the references were adequate?		Yes	No			
9. Would you participate in a similar program on a different topic?		Yes	No			
10. If any of the continuing education questions were unclear or ambiguous, please list them.	_____					

11. Was there any subject matter you found confusing? Please describe.

12. What additional continuing dental education topics would you like to see?

If not taking online, mail completed answer sheet to
Academy of Dental Therapeutics and Stomatology,
 A Division of PennWell Corp.

P.O. Box 116, Chesterland, OH 44026
 or fax to: (440) 845-3447

**For IMMEDIATE results,
 go to www.ineedce.com to take tests online.
 Answer sheets can be faxed with credit card payment to
 (440) 845-3447, (216) 398-7922, or (216) 255-6619.**

Payment of \$59.00 is enclosed.
(Checks and credit cards are accepted.)

If paying by credit card, please complete the following: MC Visa AmEx Discover

Acct. Number: _____

Exp. Date: _____

Charges on your statement will show up as PennWell

- | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 26. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 2. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 27. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 3. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 28. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 4. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 29. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 5. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 30. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 6. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 31. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 7. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 32. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 8. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 33. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 9. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 34. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 10. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 35. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 11. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 36. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 12. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 37. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 13. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 38. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 14. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 39. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 15. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 40. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 16. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 41. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 17. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 42. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 18. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 43. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 19. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 44. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 20. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 45. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 21. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 46. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 22. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 47. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 23. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 48. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 24. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 49. (A) (B) (C) (D) |
| 25. (A) (B) (C) (D) | 50. (A) (B) (C) (D) |

AGD Code 258

PLEASE PHOTOCOPY ANSWER SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL PARTICIPANTS.

AUTHOR DISCLAIMER
 Dr. Ren is a consultant for the sponsors of this course.

SPONSOR/PROVIDER
 This course was made possible through an unrestricted educational grant from Colgate-Palmolive Company. No manufacturer or third party has had any input into the development of course content. All content has been derived from references listed, and/or the opinions of clinicians. Please direct all questions pertaining to PennWell or the administration of this course to Machele Galloway, 1421 S. Sheridan Rd., Tulsa, OK 74112 or macheleg@pennwell.com.

COURSE EVALUATION and PARTICIPANT FEEDBACK
 We encourage participant feedback pertaining to all courses. Please be sure to complete the survey included with the course. Please e-mail all questions to: macheleg@pennwell.com.

INSTRUCTIONS
 All questions should have only one answer. Grading of this examination is done manually. Participants will receive confirmation of passing by receipt of a verification form. Verification forms will be mailed within two weeks after taking an examination.

EDUCATIONAL DISCLAIMER
 The opinions of efficacy or perceived value of any products or companies mentioned in this course and expressed herein are those of the author(s) of the course and do not necessarily reflect those of PennWell.

Completing a single continuing education course does not provide enough information to give the participant the feeling that s/he is an expert in the field related to the course topic. It is a combination of many educational courses and clinical experience that allows the participant to develop skills and expertise.

COURSE CREDITS/COST
 All participants scoring at least 70% on the examination will receive a verification form verifying 3 CE credits. The formal continuing education program of this sponsor is accepted by the AGD for Fellowship/Mastership credit. Please contact PennWell for current term of acceptance. Participants are urged to contact their state dental boards for continuing education requirements. PennWell is a California Provider. The California Provider number is 4527. The cost for courses ranges from \$39.00 to \$110.00.

Many PennWell self-study courses have been approved by the Dental Assisting National Board, Inc. (DANB) and can be used by dental assistants who are DANB Certified to meet DANB's annual continuing education requirements. To find out if this course or any other PennWell course has been approved by DANB, please contact DANB's Recertification Department at 1-800-FOR-DANB, ext. 445.

RECORD KEEPING
 PennWell maintains records of your successful completion of any exam. Please contact our offices for a copy of your continuing education credits report. This report, which will list all credits earned to date, will be generated and mailed to you within five business days of receipt.

CANCELLATION/REFUND POLICY
 Any participant who is not 100% satisfied with this course can request a full refund by contacting PennWell in writing.

© 2011 by the Academy of Dental Therapeutics and Stomatology, a division of PennWell

Notes



DESCRIPTION: Self-topical neutral fluoride toothpaste containing 1.1% (w/w) sodium fluoride and 5% potassium nitrate.

Active Ingredients: Sodium Fluoride 1.1% (w/w), Potassium nitrate 5%

Inactive Ingredients: water, hydrated silica, sorbitol, PEG-12, sodium lauryl sulfate, carageenan, flavor, poloxamer

407, cocamidopropyl betaine, titanium dioxide, sodium saccharin, mica, sodium hydroxide

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY: Frequent topical applications to the teeth with preparations having a relatively high fluoride content increase tooth resistance to acid dissolution and enhance penetration of the fluoride ion into tooth enamel.

INDICATIONS AND USAGE: A dental caries preventive and sensitive teeth toothpaste; for twice daily self-applied topical use, followed by rinsing. Helps reduce the painful sensitivity of the teeth to cold, heat, acids, sweets or contact in adult patients and children 12 years of age and older. It is well established that 1.1% sodium fluoride is safe and extraordinarily effective as a caries preventive when applied frequently with mouthpiece applicators. 1-4 PreviDent® Sensitive brand of 1.1% sodium fluoride toothpaste with 5% potassium nitrate in a squeeze bottle is easily applied onto a toothbrush. This prescription toothpaste should be used twice daily in place of your regular toothpaste unless otherwise instructed by your dental professional. May be used in areas where drinking water is fluoridated since topical fluoride cannot produce fluorosis. (See WARNINGS for exception.)

CONTRAINDICATIONS: Do not use in pediatric patients under age 12 years unless recommended by a dentist or physician.

WARNINGS: Not for systemic treatment - DO NOT SWALLOW. Keep out of reach of infants and children. Children under 12 years of age, consult a dentist or physician. Note: Sensitive teeth may indicate a serious problem that may need prompt care by a dentist. See your dentist if the problem persists or worsens. Do not use this product longer than 4 weeks unless recommended by a dentist or physician.

PRECAUTIONS:
General: Not for systemic treatment. DO NOT SWALLOW.
Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility: In a study conducted in rodents, no carcinogenesis was found in male and female mice and female rats treated with fluoride at dose levels ranging from 4.1 to 9.1 mg/kg of body weight. Equivocal evidence of carcinogenesis was reported in male rats treated with 2.5 and 4.1 mg/kg of body weight. In a second study, no carcinogenesis was observed in rats, males or females, treated with fluoride up to 11.3 mg/kg of body weight. Epidemiological data provide no credible evidence for an association between fluoride, either naturally occurring or added to drinking water, and risk of human cancer. Fluoride ion is not mutagenic in standard bacterial systems. It has been shown that fluoride ion has potential to induce chromosome aberrations in cultured human and rodent cells at doses much higher than those to which humans are exposed. In vivo data are conflicting. Some studies report chromosome damage in rodents, while other studies using similar protocols report negative results. Potential adverse reproductive effects of fluoride exposure in humans has not been adequately evaluated. Adverse effects on reproduction were reported for rats, mice, fox, and cattle exposed to 100 ppm or greater concentrations of fluoride in their diet or drinking water. Other studies conducted in rats demonstrated that lower concentrations of fluoride (5 mg/kg of body weight) did not result in impaired fertility and reproductive capabilities.

Pregnancy, Teratogenic Effects: Pregnancy Category B. It has been shown that fluoride crosses the placenta of rats, but only 0.01% of the amount administered is incorporated in fetal tissue. Animal studies (rats, mice, rabbits) have shown that fluoride is not a teratogen. Maternal exposure to 12.2 mg fluoride/kg of body weight (rats) or 13.1 mg/kg of body weight (rabbits) did not affect the litter size or fetal weight and did not increase the frequency of skeletal or visceral malformations. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. However, epidemiological studies conducted in areas with high levels of naturally fluoridated water showed no increase in birth defects. Heavy exposure to fluoride during in utero development may result in skeletal fluorosis which becomes evident in childhood.

Nursing Mothers: It is not known if fluoride is excreted in human milk. However, many drugs are excreted in milk, and caution should be exercised when products containing fluoride are administered to a nursing woman. Reduced milk production was reported in farm-raised fox when the animals were fed a diet containing a high concentration of fluoride (98-137 mg/kg of body weight). No adverse effects on parturition, lactation, or offspring were seen in rats administered fluoride up to 5 mg/kg of body weight.

Pediatric Use: Safety and effectiveness in pediatric patients below the age of 12 years have not been established. Please refer to the CONTRAINDICATIONS and WARNINGS sections.

Geriatric Use: Of the total number of subjects in clinical studies of 1.1% (w/v) sodium fluoride, 15 percent were 65 and over, while 1 percent were 75 and over. No overall differences in safety or effectiveness were observed between these subjects and younger subjects, and other reported clinical experience has not identified differences in responses between the elderly and younger patients, but greater sensitivity of some older individuals cannot be ruled out. This drug is known to be substantially excreted by the kidney, and the risk of toxic reactions to this drug may be greater in patients with impaired renal function. Because elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, care should be taken in dose selection, and it may be useful to monitor renal function.⁵

ADVERSE REACTIONS: Allergic reactions and other idiosyncrasies have been rarely reported.

OVERDOSAGE: Accidental ingestion of large amounts of fluoride may result in acute burning in the mouth and sore tongue. Nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea may occur soon after ingestion (within 30 minutes) and are accompanied by salivation, hematemesis, and epigastric cramping abdominal pain. These symptoms may persist for 24 hours. If less than 5 mg fluoride/kg body weight (i.e., less than 2.3 mg fluoride/lb body weight) have been ingested, give calcium (e.g., milk) orally to relieve gastrointestinal symptoms and observe for a few hours. If more than 5 mg fluoride/kg body weight (i.e., more than 2.3 mg fluoride/lb body weight) have been ingested, induce vomiting, give orally soluble calcium (e.g., milk, 5% calcium gluconate or calcium lactate solution) and immediately seek medical assistance. For accidental ingestion of more than 15 mg fluoride/kg of body weight (i.e., more than 6.9 mg fluoride/lb body weight), induce vomiting and admit immediately to a hospital facility.

A treatment dose (a thin ribbon) of PreviDent® 5000 Enamel Protect contains approximately 2.5 mg fluoride. A 3.4 FL OZ (100 mL) bottle contains approximately 591 mg fluoride.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION: Follow these instructions unless otherwise instructed by your dental professional:

- Adults and children 12 years of age and older: Apply at least a 1 inch strip of PreviDent® 5000 Enamel Protect onto a soft bristle toothbrush. Brush teeth thoroughly for at least 1 minute, expectorate, and rinse mouth thoroughly.
- Use twice a day (morning and evening) or as recommended by a dentist or physician. Make sure to brush all sensitive areas of the teeth. Children under 12 years of age: Consult a dentist or physician.

HOW SUPPLIED:
3.4 FL OZ (100 mL) in plastic bottles.
NDC 0126-0022-92

STORAGE: Store at Controlled Room Temperature, 68-77°F (20-25°C)

REFERENCES: 1. American Dental Association, Accepted Dental Therapeutics Ed. 40 (Chicago, 1984): 405-407. 2. H.R. Englander et al., JADA 75 (1967): 638-644. 3. H.R. Englander et al., JADA 78 (1969): 783-787. 4. H.R. Englander et al., JADA 83 (1971): 354-358. 5. Data on file, Colgate Oral Pharmaceuticals.

Colgate Oral Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
a subsidiary of Colgate-Palmolive Company
New York, NY 10022 U.S.A.

Questions? Comments? Please Call: 1-800-962-2345
www.colgateprofessional.com

Rev. 12/10



New!

Colgate®


PreviDent® 5000_{ppm}

ENAMEL PROTECT^{Rx ONLY}

*(1.1% Sodium Fluoride, 5% Potassium Nitrate)
Prescription Strength Toothpaste for Sensitive Teeth*



2x Greater protection from acid erosion vs. Sensodyne® ProNamel™¹



Key benefits

- Strengthens teeth and helps protect against acid wear
- Clinically proven to increase resistance to enamel erosion by over 50% vs. Sensodyne® ProNamel™¹
- Technology shown to significantly remineralizes root caries by 38% in three months²

Colgate®

YOUR PARTNER IN ORAL HEALTH

Important Safety Information: Colgate® PreviDent® Enamel Protect (1.1% sodium fluoride, 5% potassium nitrate) is a dental caries preventative and a sensitive teeth toothpaste for patients 12 years of age and older. The product is for twice daily, topical use followed by rinsing. This product is not to be used longer than 4 weeks unless recommended by a dentist or physician. It is not to be swallowed. Allergic reactions and idiosyncrasies have been rarely reported. See page XX for full prescribing information, or visit www.colgateprofessional.com.

HENRY SCHEIN®
DENTAL
To Order: **1-800-372-4346** 8AM-9PM (et)

www.colgateprofessional.com
Questions? Comments? Please call 1-800-962-2345
© 2011 Colgate Oral Pharmaceuticals, a subsidiary of Colgate-Palmolive Company, New York, NY 10022, USA

¹ Colgate. Prevention of dental erosion by 5000 ppm fluoride treatment in situ. IADR General Session. 2010 **2**. Baysan et al, Reversal of primary root caries using dentifrices containing 5000 ppm and 1100 ppm fluoride. Caries Res, 2001; 35: 41-46